



United States Patent and Trademark Office

() () () () () () () () () ()				
APPLICATION NO.			UNITED STATES DEPART United States Patentiand 7 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PO. But 1450 Alexandra, Vigania 22313-14 www.nspo.gov	PATENTS
THE ELECATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIDET MANAGED DA		
09/900,762	07/05/2001	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Dale Francis Obeshaw	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	90 09/16/2003		DP-300402	4583
EDMUND P. A DELPHI TECH Legal Staff Mod	NOI OGIES ING		EXAMINER	
Legal Staff, Mail Code: 480-414-420 P.O. Box 5052 Troy, MI 48007-5052			ZIMMERMAN, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1775 DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/900,762	OBESHAW, DALE FRANCIS
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		John J. Zimmerman	1775
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
- External frame - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ib(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/25	/03 (RCF Paners)	
2a)□		s action is non-final.	
3)□	-, -		
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the ments is 153 O.G. 213.
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
Application	on Papers		
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepto	ed or b) objected to by the Exan	niner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)□ T		is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		
12) <u></u> ⊤	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 🔏	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	(-) (-)
1	I. Certified copies of the priority documents I	have been received.	
. 2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents I		n Ño.
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure et the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have been received	d in this National Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a)		sional application has been rece	ived.
\ttachment(s		priority under 00 0.0.0, 99 120 8	anu/ULIZI.
) 🔲 Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Da	PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trade OL-326 (Rev	emark Office . 04-01) Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 14

Application/Control Number: 09/900,762

Art Unit: 1775

THIRD OFFICE ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 25, 2003 has been entered.

Amendments

2. This Office Action is in response to the <u>Amendment and Request for Reconsideration</u> received June 23, 2003. Entry of the amendment was requested in the RCE papers submitted on August 25, 2003. Claims 1-39 are pending in this application.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438; 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Art Unit: 1775

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 4. Claims 1-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,586,110. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent and the claims of this application both claim a contoured structural member having a continuous plurality of contoured metal-containing layers in an inner section and an outer section and further having and an intermediate section of a ribbed structure. Although it is noted that the claims of the patent specify that the intermediate section is honeycomb structure and the claims of this application do not limit the ribbed structure to honeycomb structures, the claims of this application cover honeycomb structure intermediate layers and therefore there is no patentable distinction between the intermediate layers. [It should be noted that the claims of this application (e.g. claims 16, 18-20) also specifically claim that the ribbed structure is a "honeycomb core".]
- 5. Claims 1-39 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the pending claims of copending Application No. 09/898,519. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of both applications claim a contoured structural member having a continuous plurality of contoured metal-containing layers in an inner section and an outer section and further having and

Application/Control Number: 09/900,762

Art Unit: 1775

patented.

an intermediate section of a ribbed structure. Although it is noted that the claims of the copending application now recite "a structural component", each section of the contoured structural members of the claims of both applications qualify as a "structural component" and therefore there is no patentable distinction in this limitation. In any event, some claims (e.g. claim 17) of this application specify further structural components. This is a <u>provisional</u>

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Page 4

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/900,762

Art Unit: 1775

- 7. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 10-13, 15, 17, 21-24 and 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Layte (U.S. Patent 2,816,665).
- Layte discloses a contoured structural member having a continuous plurality of contoured 8. metal-containing layers in an inner section (any of the plurality of inner layers of the article shown in Figure 5) and an outer section (any of the plurality of outer layers of the article shown in Figure 5) and further having and an intermediate section of a ribbed structure (any of the intermediate layers shown in Figure 5). Although it is noted that Layte's inner and outer sections also contain rib structures, the pending claims do not prohibit rib structures in the inner and outer sections. While it is noted that Layte's article is formed from a single wound sheet, the pending claims do not prohibit forming the inner, outer and intermediate sections from single long sheets. Layte shows a further "structural component" attached to the article in Figures 7 (end caps) and Figure 8. Regarding claims reciting an "initiator", any discontinuity in the article (e.g. the holes, ribs, etc. . .) may function as an initiator. Layte forms his structural member by rolling the sections about a mandrel (e.g. see column 2, lines 43-54). Regarding article claims reciting method steps, Layte may not disclose the same process steps, but these claims are product claims and not process claims. When there is a substantially similar product, as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to establish that their product is patentably distinct not the examiner to show that the same process of making, see In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q 685, and In re Fessmann, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324. Particularly note that in article claims 38 and 39 reciting a method wherein the shrink wrap is added and removed, the shrink wrap would not be present in the claimed final article.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/900,762

Art Unit: 1775

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed June 23, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with regards to the remaining rejections. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 10-13, 15, 17, 21-24 and 36-39 are now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Layte (U.S. Patent 2,816,665). The Layte reference was uncovered in a subsequent search of the claims of this application and is particularly relevant in that it is an article made by winding a sheet of ribbed material about a mandrel. While the article of Layte is wound from a single sheet, the pending claims do not prohibit forming the inner, outer and intermediate sections from a single sheet.
- 10. Regarding the rejection of claims 1-39 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,586,110, although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent and the claims of this application both claim a contoured structural member having a continuous plurality of contoured metal-containing layers in an inner section and an outer section and further having and an intermediate section of a ribbed structure. Although it is noted that the claims of the patent specify that the intermediate section is honeycomb structure and the claims of this application do not limit the ribbed structure to honeycomb structures, the claims of this application cover honeycomb structure intermediate layers and therefore there is no patentable distinction between the intermediate layers.
- 11. Regarding the rejection of claims 1-39 under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the pending claims of copending Application No. 09/898,519, although the

Application/Control Number: 09/900,762

Art Unit: 1775

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of both applications claim a contoured structural member having a continuous plurality of contoured metal-containing layers in an inner section and an outer section and further having and

an intermediate section of a ribbed structure. Although it is noted that the claims of the

copending application now recite "a structural component", each section of the contoured

structural members of the claims of both applications qualify as a "structural component" and

therefore there is no patentable distinction in this limitation. In any event, some claims (e.g.

claim 17) of this application specify further structural components.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John J. Zimmerman whose telephone number is (703) 308-2512.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm, M-F. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

n J. Zimmerman

Page 7

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1775

September 3, 2003